



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/696,614 | 10/29/2003 | Stephen K. Sherman | 030321 (BLL-0115) | 6847 |
| 36192 | 7590 | 07/21/2005 | | EXAMINER |
| CANTOR COLBURN LLP 55 GRIFFIN ROAD SOUTH BLOOMFIELD, CT 06002 | | | TRAN, CONGVAN | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2683 | |

DATE MAILED: 07/21/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | |
|------------------------------|------------------------|---------------------|
| Office Action Summary | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 10/696,614 | SHERMAN ET AL. |
| | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | CongVan Tran | 2683 |

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION:

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on _____.
- 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.
- 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) Claim(s) 1-32 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 6-8, 10 and 11 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) Claim(s) 1-5, 9 and 12-32 is/are rejected.
- 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
 - a) All
 - b) Some *
 - c) None of:
 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____
- 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.
- 5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 6) Other: _____.

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to amendment filed on Feb. 01, 2005.
2. Claims 6-8, and 10-11 have been canceled.
3. Claims 26-32 have been added.
4. Claims 5, and 9 have been amended.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1, 18, 21, and 25-31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sandegren (6,512,930).

Regarding claims 1, Sandegren discloses an on-line notification in a mobile communication system, comprising receiving a first telephone number associated with a first notification service customer (see fig.1, elements 201, 207, 213, 217, 219 and its description); receiving an indication that first telephone corresponding to said first telephone number has been powered on and is available in a mobile network (see fig.2c, fig.3a steps 301, 303, 305 and its description); determining a mobile network status of a second telephone corresponding to a second telephone number in response to locating said second telephone number in a notification list associated with said first

notification service customer (see fig. 2c, fig.3a, steps 308-309 and its description); and transmitting said status to said first notification service customer (see fig.2c, fig.3a, steps 308-309, 311 and its description).

Regarding claim 18, 21, Sandegren discloses an on-line notification in a mobile communication system, comprising: a network (see fig.2 and its description); an application server in communication with said network (see fig.2a, element 217s and its description); a first telephone in communication with said network, said first telephone corresponding to a first telephone number, associated with a first notification service customer, and including instruction to implement (see fig.1, elements 201, 207, 213, 217, and its description): transmitting an indication to said application server via said network that said first telephone has been powered on and is available in a mobile network (see fig.3, steps 303 and its description); transmitting a request to said application server to determine a mobile network status of a second telephone number in response to locating said second telephone number in a notification list associated with said first notification service customer (see fig.3, steps 307-309); receiving said status via said network (see fig.3, step 311 and its description) and displaying said status on said first telephone (see fig. 1, element 103, fig.3, element 313 and its description).

Regarding claims 25-31, the computer program product for providing a mobile network notification service is reflected to the method for providing a mobile network in claims 1, 18 and 21, therefore they are rejected for the same reasons as set forth above.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.


8. Claims 2-5, 9, 12-17, 19-20, 22-24 and 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sandegren (6,512,930).

Regarding claims 2-5, 9, 13-17, 19-20, 22-24 and 32, the Examiner takes Official notice that these features is notoriously well known in the art and also disclose in Simpson (2005/0004984) and Trandal et al. (6,738,461) references in order to improve the use of telecommunication systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to CongVan Tran whose telephone number is 571-272-7871. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, William Trost can be reached on 571-272-7872. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).



CONGVAN TRAN
PRIMARY EXAMINER

CongVan Tran
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2683

Jul. 18, 2005.